The Production of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in B_c decays

Zhi-Hui Wang[1],[2]*, Yi Zhang[1], Tian-hong Wang[2], Yue Jiang[2], Guo-Li Wang[2]

[1]*School of Electrical and Information Engineering,*

Beifang University of Nationalities, Yinchuan, 750021,

[2]*Department of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001*

arXiv:1605.09091v3 [hep-ph] 2 Sep 2016

Abstract

Considering $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ as $\eta_c(3S)$ and $\eta_c(4S)$, we study the productions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in exclusive weak decays of B_c meson by the improved Bethe-Salpeter(B-S) Method. Using the relativistic B-S equation and Mandelstam formalism, we calculate the corresponding decay form factors. The predictions of the corresponding branching ratios are: $Br(B_c^+ \to X(3940)e^+\nu_e) = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ and $Br(B_c^+ \to X(4160)e^+\nu_e) = 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$. That will provide us a new way to observe the $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in the future, as well as to improve the knowledge of B_c meson decay.

Keywords: B_c Meson; $X(3940)$; $X(4160)$; Weak Decay; Bethe-Salpeter Method.

* 2013086@nun.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, lots of new particles have been observed, such as charmomium-like state was first observed in e^+e^- annihilation by Belle in 2002 [1]. Then many more charmomium-like states were discovered in experiments, and the family of charmonium-like states have become very abundant. Such as the $X(3872)$ resonance was discovered by Belle Collaboration through the channel $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ [2]. The $X(3915)$ was reported by Belle Collaboration in $\gamma\gamma \to \omega J/\psi$ [3]. $X(3940)$ was observed from the inclusive process $e^+e^- \to J/\psi X(3940)$, with the mass $(3943 \pm 6 \pm 6)$ MeV [4]. Latter Belle Collaboration confirmed $X(3940)$ by the process $e^+e^- \to J/\psi D^*\bar{D}^*$, and they also reported a new charmonium-like state $X(4160)$ [5]. Now the Particle Data Group(PDG) give the mass and width of $X(3940)$: $M = (3942^{+7}_{-6} \pm 6)$ MeV, $\Gamma = (37^{+26}_{-15} \pm 8)$ MeV, the mass and width of $X(4160)$: $M = (4156^{+25}_{-20} \pm 15)$ MeV, $\Gamma = (139^{+111}_{-61} \pm 21)$ MeV [6].

The charmomium-like states provide us a good way to study the nonperturbative behavior of QCD, so they have attracted a lot of attention of theorists and experimentalists. People had detailed summarized the present experimental status of the XYZ particles, gave the productions and properties of XYZ states [7–11]. As two of these new observed particles, there are already some theoretical studies on $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$. Ref. [11] calculate the mass of $X(3940)$ as $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$. Ref. [12] gave the production of $X(3940)$ which was assumed as 3^1S_0 state in weak decay of B_c in the framework of the light-cone QCD sum rules approach. Ref. [13] had studied the inclusive production of $X(3940)$ in the decay of ground bottomnium state η_b by the NRQCD factorization formula, and they also considered $X(3940)$ as the excited $\eta_c(3S)$ state. Using the NRQCD factorization approach, Ref. [14] calculated the branching fractions of $\Upsilon(nS) \to J/\psi + X$ with $X = X(3940)$ or $X = X(4160)$. In Ref. [15], they also explored the properties and strong decays of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ as the $\eta_c(3S)$ and $\eta_c(4S)$, respectively. Ref. [16] calculated the strong decay of $X(4160)$ which was assumed as $\chi_{c0}(3P)$, $\chi_{c1}(3P)$, $\eta_{c2}(2D)$ or $\eta_c(4S)$ by the $^3P_0$ model. Ref. [17] calculated the strong decays of $\eta_c(nS)$, they found that the explanation of $X(3940)$ as $\eta_c(3S)$ is possible and the assignment of $X(4160)$ as $\eta_c(4S)$ can not be excluded. According to the strong decay of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in Ref. [15–17], considering $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ as $\eta_c(3S)$ and $\eta_c(4S)(J^{PC} = 0^{-+})$ are possible.

In this paper we will consider the possibilities of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ as radial high excited states $\eta_c(3S)$ and $\eta_c(4S)$, respectively. We focus on the productions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in exclusive weak decays of B_c meson by the improved the Bethe-Salpeter(B-S)

Method. On the one hand, the higher excited states have larger relativistic correction than the corresponding ground state, a relativistic model is needed in a careful study. On the other hand, this study can improve the knowledge of B_c meson, and B_c meson only decay weakly which is an ideal particle to study the weak decays. In recent years, more and more people had studied the B_c meson by different methods, such as different relativistic constituent quark models [18–31], the covariant light-front quark model [32, 33] and perturbative QCD factorization approach [34]. In these literatures, they studied the nature of B_c meson by the semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of B_c, the CP violation in two-body hadronic decays of B_c, rare semileptonic decays of B_c etc. We also discussed the properties of B_c meson by the improved B-S method, include B_c decays to $P-$wave mesons, the rare weak decays and rare radiative decays of B_c, the nonleptonic charmless decays of B_c, and so on [35–41]. In previous papers, we focused on B_c decays to $1S,\ 2S,\ 1P$, and $2P$ states, because when the final states were $3S,\ 4S$ states, the corresponding branching ratios were very small, and there were only limited data of B_c available. Now the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will produce as much as 5×10^{10} B_c events per year [42, 43]. The huge amount of B_c events will provide us a chance to study B_c decay to $3S,\ 4S$ states, some channels also provide an opportunities to discover the new particles in B_c decay.

The mesons can be described by the B-S equation. Ref. [44] took the B-S equation to describe the light mesons π and K, then they calculated the mass and decay constant of π by the B-S amplitudes [45], they also studied the weak decays [46] and the strong decays [47] combine the Dyson-Schwinger equation. But in this paper, we describe the properties of heavy mesons and the matrix elements of weak currents by improved B-S method, which include two improvement [48]: one is about relativistic wavefunctions which describe bound states with definite quantum number, and a relativistic form of wavefunctions are solutions of the full Salpeter equations. The other one is about the matrix elements of weak-current which obtained with relativistic wavefunctions as input. So the improved B-S method is good to describe the properties and decays of the heavy mesons with the relativistic corrections.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give the formulations of the exclusive semileptonic and nonleptonic decays; We show the hadronic weak-current matrix elements which is related to the wavefunctions of initial mesons and final mesons in Section. III; We show the wavefunctions of initial and final mesons in Sec. IV; The corresponding results and conclusions are present in Sec. V; Finally in Appendix, we introduce the instantaneous Bethe-Salpeter equation.



FIG. 1: Feynman diagram of the semi-leptonic decay $B_c \to X\ell^+\nu_\ell$, X denote $X(3940)$ or $X(4160)$.

II. THE FORMULATIONS OF SEMILEPTONIC DECAYS AND NONLEPTONIC DECAY OF B_c

In this section we present the formulations of semi-leptonic decay and nonleptonic decay of B_c mesons to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ which are considered as $\eta_c(3S)$ and $\eta_c(4S)$ states.

A. Semileptonic decay of B_c

The feynman diagram of B_c semileptonic decay to $X = X(3940)$ or $X = X(4160)$ is shown in Fig. 1. The corresponding amplitude for the decay can be written as

$$T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{bc} \bar{u}_{\nu_\ell} \gamma^\mu (1-\gamma_5) v_\ell \langle X(P_f)|J_\mu|B_c(P)\rangle \,, \tag{1}$$

where V_{bc} is the CKM matrix element, G_F is the the Fermi constant, $J_\mu = V_\mu - A_\mu$ is the charged weak current, P and P_f are the momentum of the initial meson B_c and the final state, respectively. The hadronic part can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle X(P_f)|V_\mu|B_c(P)\rangle = f_+(P+P_f)_\mu + f_-(P-P_f)_\mu, \\ &\langle X(P_f)|A_\mu|B_c(P)\rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where f_+, f_- are the Lorentz invariant form factors.

We define $x \equiv E_\ell/M, \quad y \equiv (P-P_f)^2/M^2$, where E_ℓ is the energy of the final charge lepton, M is the mass of initial meson. The differential width of the decay can be reduced to:

$$\frac{d^2\Gamma}{dxdy} = |V_{bc}|^2 \frac{G_F^2 M^5}{64\pi^3}$$



FIG. 2: Feynman diagram of the nonleptonic decay $B_c \to XM_2$, X denote $X(3940)$ or $X(4160)$, M_2 denote a light meson: π, K, ρ, or K^*.

$$\left\{\beta_{++}\left[4\left(2x(1-\frac{M_f^2}{M^2}+y)-4x^2-y\right)+\frac{m_\ell^2}{M^2}\left(8x+4\frac{M_f^2}{M^2}-3y-\frac{m_\ell^2}{M^2}\right)\right]\right.$$
$$\left.(\beta_{+-}+\beta_{-+})\frac{m_\ell^2}{M^2}\left(2-4x+y-2\frac{M_f^2}{M^2}+\frac{m_\ell^2}{M^2}\right)+\beta_{--}\frac{m_\ell^2}{M^2}\left(y-\frac{m_\ell^2}{M^2}\right)\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where M_f, m_ℓ are the masses of the meson and the lepton in final states, respectively. $\beta_{++}=f_+^2$, $\beta_{+-}=\beta_{-+}=f_+f_-$, $\beta_{--}=f_-^2$.

B. Nonleptonic decay of B_c

For the nonleptonic decay of $B_c \to X + M_2$ in Fig. 2, the relevant effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} is [49, 50]:

$$H_{eff}=\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{V_{bc}[c_1(\mu)O_1^{bc}+c_2(\mu)O_2^{bc}]+h.c.\right\}, \tag{4}$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, V_{bc} is the CKM matrix element and $c_i(\mu)$ are the scale-dependent Wilson coefficients. O_i are the operators responsible for the decays constructed by four quark fields and have the structure as follows:

$$O_1^{bc}=[V_{ud}(\bar{d}_\alpha u_\alpha)_{V-A}+V_{us}(\bar{s}_\alpha u_\alpha)_{V-A}](\bar{c}_\beta b_\beta)_{V-A},$$
$$O_2^{bc}=[V_{ud}(\bar{d}_\alpha u_\beta)_{V-A}+V_{us}(\bar{s}_\alpha u_\beta)_{V-A}](\bar{c}_\beta b_\alpha)_{V-A}, \tag{5}$$

where $(\bar{q}_1 q_2)_{V-A}=\bar{q}_1\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_2$.

Since this is the primary study of these nonleptonic decays, we apply the naive factorization to H_{eff} [51], the nonleptonic two-body decay amplitude T can be reduce to a product of

a transition matrix element of a weak current $\langle X|J^{\mu}|B_c\rangle$ and an annihilation matrix element of another weak current $\langle M_2|J_{\mu}|0\rangle$:

$$T = \langle XM_2|H_{eff}|B_c\rangle \approx \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{bc}V_{ij}a_1\langle X|J^{\mu}|B_c\rangle\langle M_2|J_{\mu}|0\rangle, \tag{6}$$

$a_1 = c_1 + \frac{1}{N_c}c_2$ and $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors. While the annihilation matrix element $\langle M_2|J_{\mu}|0\rangle$ is related to the decay constant of M_2. When M_2 is a pseudoscalar meson [52],

$$\langle M_2|J_{\mu}|0\rangle = if_{M_2}P_{M_2\mu}$$

f_{M_2} is the decay constant of meson M_2, P_{M_2} is the momentum of M_2. When M_2 is a vector meson [53],

$$\langle M_2|J_{\mu}|0\rangle = \epsilon_{\mu}f_{M_2}M_{M_2}$$

where M_{M_2}, f_{M_2} and ϵ are the mass, decay constant and polarization vector of the vector meson M_2, respectively. The decay constant of the meson can be obtained either by theoretical model or by indirect experiment measurement.

In Eq. (3) and Eq. (6), we find that the most important things to get the decay width of the corresponding decay are to calculate hadronic weak-current matrix elements $\langle X(P_f)|J_{\mu}|B_c(P)\rangle$. We will give the detailed calculation of the hadronic weak-current matrix elements in the Section. III.

III. THE HADRONIC WEAK-CURRENT MATRIX ELEMENTS

The calculation of the hadronic weak-current matrix element are different from model to model. In this paper, we combine the B-S method which is based on relativistic B-S equation with Mandelstam formalism [54] and relativistic wave functions to calculate the hadronic matrix element. The numerical values of wavefunctions have been obtained by solving the full Salpeter equation which we will introduce in Appendix. As an example, we consider the semileptonic decay $B_c \to X\ell^+\nu_\ell$ in Fig. 1. In this way, at the leading order the hadronic matrix element can be written as an overlapping integral over the wavefunctions of initial and final mesons [48],

$$\langle X(P_f)|J_{\mu}|B_c(P)\rangle = \int \frac{d\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\bar{\varphi}^{++}_{P_f}(\vec{q}_f)\frac{\not{P}}{M}\varphi^{++}_{P}(\vec{q})\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\right], \tag{7}$$

where $\vec{q}\,(\vec{q}_f)$ is the relative three-momentum between the quark and anti-quark in the initial (final) meson and $\vec{q}_f = \vec{q} - \frac{m_1'}{m_1'+m_2'}\vec{P}_f$. M is the mass of B_c, $\vec{P}_f$ is the three dimensional

momentum of X, $\varphi_P^{++}(\vec{q})$ is the positive Salpeter wavefunction of B_c meson and $\varphi_{P_f}^{++}(\vec{q}_f)$ is the positive Salpeter wavefunction of X meson, $\bar{\varphi}_{P_f}^{++} = \gamma_0(\varphi_{P_f}^{++})^\dagger\gamma_0$. We will show the Salpeter wavefunctions for the different mesons in next section.

IV. THE RELATIVISTIC WAVEFUNCTIONS OF PSEUDOSCALAR MESON

A. For B_c meson with quantum numbers $J^P = 0^-$

The general form for the relativistic wavefunction of pseudoscalar meson B_c can be written as [55]:

$$\varphi_{0^-}(\vec{q}) \;=\; \Big[f_1(\vec{q})\not{P} + f_2(\vec{q})M + f_3(\vec{q})\;\not{q}_\perp + f_4(\vec{q})\frac{\not{P}\;\not{q}_\perp}{M}\Big]\gamma_5, \tag{8}$$

where M is the mass of the pseudoscalar meson, and $f_i(\vec{q})$ are functions of $|\vec{q}|^2$. Due to the last two equations of Eq. (A7): $\varphi_{0^-}^{+-} = \varphi_{0^-}^{-+} = 0$, we have:

$$f_3(\vec{q}) \;=\; \frac{f_2(\vec{q})M(-\omega_1+\omega_2)}{m_2\omega_1+m_1\omega_2}, \quad f_4(\vec{q}) = -\frac{f_1(\vec{q})M(\omega_1+\omega_2)}{m_2\omega_1+m_1\omega_2}. \tag{9}$$

where m_1, m_2 and $\omega_1 = \sqrt{m_1^2+\vec{q}^2}, \omega_2 = \sqrt{m_2^2+\vec{q}^2}$ are the masses and the energies of quark and anti-quark in B_c mesons, $q_\perp = q - (q\cdot P/M^2)P$, and $q_\perp^2 = -|\vec{q}|^2$.



FIG. 3: The wavefunctions of B_c.

The numerical values of radial wavefunctions f_1, f_2 and eigenvalue M can be obtained by solving the first two Salpeter equations in Eq. (A7). To show the numerical results of wavefunctions explicitly, we plot the wavefunctions of B_c meson in Fig. 3. According to the Eq. (A6) the relativistic positive wavefunction of pseudoscalar meson B_c in C.M.S can be written as [55]:

$$\varphi_{0^-}^{++}(\vec{q}) = b_1\left[b_2 + \frac{\not{P}}{M} + b_3\;\not{q}_\perp + b_4\frac{\not{q}_\perp\;\not{P}}{M}\right]\gamma_5, \tag{10}$$

where the b_is ($i = 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4$) are related to the original radial wavefunctions f_1, f_2, quark masses m_1, m_2, quark energy w_1, w_2, and meson mass M:

$$b_1 = \frac{M}{2}\left(f_1(\vec{q}) + f_2(\vec{q})\frac{m_1+m_2}{\omega_1+\omega_2}\right), b_2 = \frac{\omega_1+\omega_2}{m_1+m_2}, b_3 = -\frac{(m_1-m_2)}{m_1\omega_2+m_2\omega_1}, b_4 = \frac{(\omega_1+\omega_2)}{(m_1\omega_2+m_2\omega_1)}.$$

B. For $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ mesons with quantum numbers $J^P = 0^-$

Because the $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ mesons have the same quantum numbers as B_c, the wavefunctions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ mesons are similar to Eq. (10),

$$\varphi^{++}_{P_f}(\vec{q}_f) = a_1\left[a_2 + \frac{\not{P}_f}{M_f} + a_3\ \not{q}_{f\perp} + a_4\frac{\not{q}_{f\perp}\ \not{P}_f}{M_f}\right]\gamma_5, \tag{11}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{M_f}{2}\left(f_1'(\vec{q}_f) + f_2'(\vec{q}_f)\frac{m_1'+m_2'}{\omega_1'+\omega_2'}\right), a_2 = \frac{\omega_1'+\omega_2'}{m_1'+m_2'}, a_3 = \frac{-m_1'+m_2'}{m_1'\omega_2'+m_2'\omega_1'}, a_4 = \frac{\omega_1'+\omega_2'}{m_1'\omega_2'+m_2'\omega_1'}.$$

Where M_f, P_f, $f_i'(\vec{q}_f)$ are the mass, momentum and the radial wavefunctions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$, respectively. m_1', m_2' and $\omega_1' = \sqrt{m_1'^2 + \vec{q}_f^{\,2}}, \omega_2' = \sqrt{m_2'^2 + \vec{q}_f^{\,2}}$ are the masses and the energies of quark and anti-quark in $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$. To show the numerical results of wavefunctions explicitly, we plot the wavefunctions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ states in Fig. 4.



FIG. 4: The wavefunctions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$.

V. NUMBER RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Semi-leptonic decays

In order to fix Cornell potential in Eq.(A11) and masses of quarks, we take these parameters: $a = e = 2.7183, \lambda = 0.21$ GeV^2, $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.27$ GeV, $\alpha = 0.06$ GeV, $m_b = 4.96$

GeV, $m_c = 1.62$ GeV, *etc* [56], which are best to fit the mass spectra of ground states B_c and other heavy mesons. Taking these parameters to B-S equation, and solving the B-S equation numerically, we get the masses of $X(3940)$, $X(4160)$ and B_c as: $M_{X(3940)}$=3.942 GeV, $M_{X(4160)}$=4.156 GeV, $M_{B_c} = 6.276$ GeV.



FIG. 5: The form factor of semileptonic decay B_c to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$.



FIG. 6: The leptonic energy spectra of semileptonic decay B_c to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$.

For semileptonic decays we need to input the CKM matrix elements: $V_{cb} = 0.0406$, $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV^{-2} and the life time of B_c meson: $\tau_{B_c} = 0.453$ps, which are taken from PDG [6]. In Section. III, we have found that the hadronic weak-current matrix element is overlapping integral over the wavefunctions of initial and final states, and the hadronic weak-current matrix element can be written as the form factors f_+ and f_-. The form factors are relate to four-momentum transfer squared $t = (P - P_f)^2 = M^2 + M_f^2 - 2ME_f$ which provides the kinematic range for the semileptonic decay of B_c. It varies from $t = 0$ to $t = 5.45$ GeV^2 for the decays to $X(3940)$ and from $t = 0$ to $t = 4.48$ GeV^2 for the decays to $X(4160)$. We give the relations of $(t_m - t)(t_m = (M - M_f)^2$ is the maximum of $t)$ and the

form factors, which are calculated by Eq. (7) in Fig. 5. The leptonic energy spectra $\frac{d\Gamma}{\Gamma dP_e}$ for semileptonic B_c decay to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ are calculated by Eq. (3). The results are plot in Fig. 6. In Table. I, we summarize the decay widths of the semileptonic $B_c \to X\ell^+\nu_\ell$ ($X = X(3940)$ or $X(4160)$, $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$). We have taken $\Gamma_e \simeq \Gamma_\mu$ with the massless lepton limit since the muon mass effect is negligible for these transitions with large kinematic ranges. The semileptonic decay widths of $B_c^+ \to X(3940)$ are larger than $B_c^+ \to X(4160)$ in Table. I, there are two reasons: first, the former decay has larger kinematic ranges, second, there is one minus part in the wavefunctions of $X(3940)$, and there are two minus parts in the wavefunctions of $X(4160)$ in Fig. 4, after the overlapping integral in Eq. (7), much more minus parts of the wavefunctions cause the smaller result for $X(4160)$.

TABLE I: The decay widths of exclusive semileptonic decay B_c to $X(3940)$, $X(4160)$ (in 10^{-15}GeV).

Mode	Ours	Mode	Ours
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)e^+\nu_e$	0.147	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)e^+\nu_e$	3.46×10^{-2}
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)\tau^+\nu_\tau$	4.35×10^{-3}	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)\tau^+\nu_\tau$	2.57×10^{-4}

For the exclusive nonleptonic decay, we only consider two body decays, and another meson is light meson. The corresponding CKM matrix elements are: $V_{ud} = 0.974$ and $V_{us} = 0.2252$. The masses and decay constants are: $M_\pi = 0.140$ GeV, $f_\pi = 0.130$ GeV, $M_\rho = 0.775$ GeV, $f_\rho = 0.205$ GeV, $M_K = 0.494$ GeV, $f_K = 0.156$ GeV, $M_{K^*} = 0.892$ GeV, $f_{K^*} = 0.217$ GeV [6, 57], respectively. The kinematic range of nonleptonic decay is fixed value, so the form factors of B_c nonleptonic decay are definite value. Using the form factors of B_c nonleptonic decay and the decay constants, we show the nonleptonic decay widths which are related to the parameter a_1 in Table. II. The results of B_c nonleptonic decay are affected by the CKM matrix elements, so the results of light mesons π, ρ are larger than the ones of light mesons K, K^* in Table. II, respectively.

In order to compare the numerical values of semileptonic and nonleptonic decays, we show the branching ratios of semileptonic and nonleptonic B_c with $a_1 = 1.14$ [49, 50] in Table. III. We find that the central value results of $B_c^+ \to X(3940)e^+\bar{\nu}_e$ and $B_c^+ \to X(3940)\tau^+\bar{\nu}_\tau$ are less than Ref. [12]. But considering the errors of results, our results are in accordance with ones of Ref. [12]. If we compare $B_c \to X(3940)$, $X(4160)$ in this paper with B_c decays

TABLE II: The decay widths of exclusive nonleptonic decay B_c to $X(3940)$, $X(4160)$ (in 10^{-15}GeV).

Mode	Ours	Mode	Ours
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+\pi$	$7.57\times10^{-2}a_1^2$	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+\pi$	$2.29\times10^{-2}a_1^2$
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+K$	$5.51\times10^{-3}a_1^2$	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+K$	$1.61\times10^{-3}a_1^2$
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+\rho$	$0.149a_1^2$	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+\rho$	$4.17\times10^{-2}a_1^2$
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+K^*$	$8.30\times10^{-3}a_1^2$	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+K^*$	$2.22\times10^{-3}a_1^2$

to $\eta_c(1S)$ in literatures, for example in Ref. [18, 19, 41], our results are almost two order smaller than the results of B_c decay to $\eta_c(1S)$. there are two reasons, one reason is that the $B_c \to X(3940)$, $X(4160)$ have small kinematic ranges, another one is that the wavefunctions have some minus parts in $X(3940)$, and $X(4160)$. Because the mass errors of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ are still large, the widths and branching ratios of B_c weak decays to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ are influenced by the masses of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$, we plot the relations of the branching ratios of B_c weak decays to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ to the masses of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in Fig. 7. The relations of the branching ratios to the masses of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ are linear. The branching ratios decrease with the increase of the masses of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$.

TABLE III: The branching ratio(in %) of exclusive semileptonic and nonleptonic decay B_c to $X(3940)$, $X(4160)$ with $a_1 = 1.14$.

Mode	Ours	[12]	Mode	Ours
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)e^+\nu_e$	1.02×10^{-2}	$1.9^{+0.2+0.1+0.0+0.8+0.7+0.0}_{-0.1-0.1-0.0-0.9-0.7-0.0}\times10^{-2}$	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)e^+\nu_e$	2.39×10^{-3}
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)\tau^+\nu_\tau$	3.00×10^{-4}	$5.7^{+0.6+0.7+0.3+2.4+2.0+0.0}_{-0.3-0.4-0.3-2.7-2.2-0.1}\times10^{-4}$	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)\tau^+\nu_\tau$	1.78×10^{-5}
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+\pi$	6.78×10^{-3}	–	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+\pi$	2.05×10^{-3}
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+K$	4.94×10^{-4}	–	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+K$	1.44×10^{-4}
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+\rho$	1.34×10^{-2}	–	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+\rho$	3.73×10^{-3}
$B_c^+ \to X(3940)+K^*$	7.44×10^{-4}	–	$B_c^+ \to X(4160)+K^*$	2.00×10^{-4}



FIG. 7: The relations of branching ratios to the mass of final mesons.

In conclusion, considering $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ as $\eta_c(3S)$ and $\eta_c(4S)$ states, we study the semileptonic and nonleptonic B_c decays to $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ by the improved B-S method. The corresponding decay form factors are calculated and the corresponding decay widths and branching ratios are obtained. The exclusive decay widths and branching ratios are very small, because of the minus value in the wavefunctions. But now the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will produce as much as 5×10^{10} B_c events per year [42, 43], if we can observe the sufficient events, some channels will provide us a sizable ratios, and may be we will detect the productions of $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in B_c exclusive weak semileptonic and nonleptonic decay. That will provide us a new way to observe the $X(3940)$ and $X(4160)$ in the future.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 11405004, No. 11405037, No. 11505039 and No. 11575048.

Appendix A: Instantaneous Bethe-Salpeter Equation

In this section, we briefly review the Bethe-Salpeter equation and its instantaneous one, the Salpeter equation.

The BS equation is read as [58]:

$$(\not{p}_1 - m_1)\chi(q)(\not{p}_2 + m_2) = i\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} V(P,k,q)\chi(k)\,, \tag{A1}$$

where $\chi(q)$ is the BS wave function, $V(P,k,q)$ is the interaction kernel between the quark and antiquark, and p_1, p_2 are the momentum of the quark 1 and anti-quark 2.

We divide the relative momentum q into two parts, $q_\parallel$ and $q_\perp$,

$$q^\mu = q^\mu_\parallel + q^\mu_\perp \ ,$$

$$q^\mu_\parallel \equiv (P\cdot q/M^2)P^\mu \ , \quad q^\mu_\perp \equiv q^\mu - q^\mu_\parallel \ .$$

B-S equation Eq. (A1) is a four dimension covariant equation, in order to solve the Eq. (A1), we will take the instantaneous approximation in the interaction kernel $V(P,k,q)$, then the B-S equation will lose the covariance. The effect of instantaneous approximation in $V(P,k,q)$ could be corrected by the retardation effects in $V(P,k,q)$. But the retardation effects in $V(P,k,q)$ are very small for the heavy mesons [59–61], this means that the influence of the instantaneous approximation on the covariance of B-S equation are very small for the heavy mesons. The instantaneous approximation in $V(P,k,q)$ almost don't influence the wavefunctions, and the decay matrix elements which involve the heavy mesons mostly unchanged [59]. Our model mostly keeps the covariance in the calculation, and the weak decay results also satisfy the Lorentz-covariance.

In instantaneous approach, the kernel $V(P,k,q)$ takes the simple form [62]:

$$V(P,k,q) \Rightarrow V(|\vec{k}-\vec{q}|) \ .$$

Let us introduce the notations $\varphi_p(q^\mu_\perp)$ and $\eta(q^\mu_\perp)$ for three dimensional wave function as follows:

$$\varphi_p(q^\mu_\perp) \equiv i\int \frac{dq_p}{2\pi}\chi(q^\mu_\parallel, q^\mu_\perp) \ ,$$

$$\eta(q^\mu_\perp) \equiv \int \frac{dk_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} V(k_\perp, q_\perp)\varphi_p(k^\mu_\perp) \ . \tag{A2}$$

Then the BS equation can be rewritten as:

$$\chi(q_\parallel, q_\perp) = S_1(p_1)\eta(q_\perp)S_2(p_2) \ . \tag{A3}$$

The propagators of the two constituents can be decomposed as:

$$S_i(p_i) = \frac{\Lambda^+_{ip}(q_\perp)}{J(i)q_p + \alpha_i M - \omega_i + i\epsilon} + \frac{\Lambda^-_{ip}(q_\perp)}{J(i)q_p + \alpha_i M + \omega_i - i\epsilon} \ , \tag{A4}$$

with

$$\omega_i = \sqrt{m_i^2 + q_T^2} \ , \quad \Lambda^\pm_{ip}(q_\perp) = \frac{1}{2\omega_{ip}}\left[\frac{\not{P}}{M}\omega_i \pm J(i)(m_i + \not{q}_\perp)\right] \ , \tag{A5}$$

where $i = 1, 2$ for quark and anti-quark, respectively, and $J(i) = (-1)^{i+1}$.

Introducing the notations $\varphi_p^{\pm\pm}(q_\perp)$ as:

$$\varphi_p^{\pm\pm}(q_\perp) \equiv \Lambda_{1p}^{\pm}(q_\perp)\frac{\not{P}}{M}\varphi_p(q_\perp)\frac{\not{P}}{M}\Lambda_{2p}^{\pm}(q_\perp) \ . \tag{A6}$$

With contour integration over q_p on both sides of Eq. (A3), we obtain:

$$\varphi_p(q_\perp) = \frac{\Lambda_{1p}^{+}(q_\perp)\eta_p(q_\perp)\Lambda_{2p}^{+}(q_\perp)}{(M-\omega_1-\omega_2)} - \frac{\Lambda_{1p}^{-}(q_\perp)\eta_p(q_\perp)\Lambda_{2p}^{-}(q_\perp)}{(M+\omega_1+\omega_2)} \ ,$$

and the full Salpeter equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(M-\omega_1-\omega_2)\varphi_p^{++}(q_\perp) &= \Lambda_{1p}^{+}(q_\perp)\eta_p(q_\perp)\Lambda_{2p}^{+}(q_\perp) \ , \\
(M+\omega_1+\omega_2)\varphi_p^{--}(q_\perp) &= -\Lambda_{1p}^{-}(q_\perp)\eta_p(q_\perp)\Lambda_{2p}^{-}(q_\perp) \ , \\
\varphi_p^{+-}(q_\perp) = \varphi_p^{-+}(q_\perp) &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

For the different J^{PC} (or J^P) states, we give the general form of wave functions. Reducing the wave functions by the last equation of Eq. (A7), then solving the first and second equations in Eq. (A7) to get the wave functions and mass spectrum. We have discussed the solution of the Salpeter equation in detail in Ref. [55, 56].

The normalization condition for BS wave function is:

$$\int \frac{q_T^2 dq_T}{2\pi^2} Tr\left[\overline{\varphi}^{++}\frac{\not{P}}{M}\varphi^{++}\frac{\not{P}}{M} - \overline{\varphi}^{--}\frac{\not{P}}{M}\varphi^{--}\frac{\not{P}}{M}\right] = 2P_0 \ . \tag{A8}$$

In our model, the instantaneous interaction kernel V is Cornell potential, which is the sum of a linear scalar interaction and a vector interaction:

$$V(r) = V_s(r) + V_0 + \gamma_0 \otimes \gamma^0 V_v(r) = \lambda r + V_0 - \gamma_0 \otimes \gamma^0 \frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} \ , \tag{A9}$$

where λ is the string constant and $\alpha_s(\vec{q})$ is the running coupling constant. In order to fit the data of heavy quarkonia, a constant V_0 is often added to confine potential. One can see that $V_v(r)$ diverges at $r=0$, we introduce a factor $e^{-\alpha r}$ to avoid the divergence:

$$V_s(r) = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}(1-e^{-\alpha r}) \ , \quad V_v(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r}e^{-\alpha r} \ . \tag{A10}$$

It is easy to know that when $\alpha r \ll 1$, the potential becomes to Eq. (A9). In the momentum space and the C.M.S of the bound state, the potential reads :

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\vec{q}) &= V_s(\vec{q}) + \gamma_0 \otimes \gamma^0 V_v(\vec{q}) \ , \\
V_s(\vec{q}) &= -(\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}+V_0)\delta^3(\vec{q}) + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2}\frac{1}{(\vec{q}^2+\alpha^2)^2} \ , \quad V_v(\vec{q}) = -\frac{2}{3\pi^2}\frac{\alpha_s(\vec{q})}{(\vec{q}^2+\alpha^2)} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

where the running coupling constant $\alpha_s(\vec{q})$ is :

$$\alpha_s(\vec{q}) = \frac{12\pi}{33-2N_f}\frac{1}{\log(a+\frac{\vec{q}^2}{\Lambda^2_{QCD}})} \ .$$

We introduce a small parameter a to avoid the divergence in the denominator. The constants λ, α, V_0 and Λ_{QCD} are the parameters that characterize the potential. $N_f = 3$ for $\bar{b}q$ (and $\bar{c}q$) system.

---

[1] K. Abe *et al.*, Belle Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 142001(2003).

[2] S. K. Choi *et al.*, Belle Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 262001(2003).

[3] S. Uehara *et al.*, Belle Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 092001(2010).

[4] K. Abe *et al.*, Belle Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 082001(2007).

[5] P. Pakhlov *et al.*, Belle Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 202001(2008).

[6] K. A. Olive *et al.*, (Partile Data Group), *Chin. Phys. C.* **38**, 090001(2015).

[7] X. Liu, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **59**, 3815(2014).

[8] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, *Phys. Rept.*, **639** 1(2016).

[9] S. L. Olsen, *Front. Phys.* **10**, 101401(2015).

[10] B. Q. Li and K. T. Chao, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 094004(2009).

[11] H. C. Kim, K. S. Kim, M. K. Cheoun, D. Jido and M. Oka, arXiv:1602.07540 [hep-ph].

[12] Y. M. Wang and C. D. Lu, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 054003(2008).

[13] Z. G. He and B. Q. Li, *Phys. Lett. B* **693**, 36–43(2010).

[14] R. L. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 074017(2015).

[15] L. P. He, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3028(2014).

[16] Y. C. Yang, Z. R. Xia and J. L. Ping, *Phys. Rev.* D **81**, 094003 (2010).

[17] H. Wang, Z. Z. Yan and J. L. Ping, *Eur. Phys. J.* C **75**, 196 (2015).

[18] C. H. Chang, and Y. Q. Chen, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 3399(1994).

[19] C. H. Chang and Y. Q. Chen, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 3845 (1992).

[20] M. Beneke and G. Buchalla, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 4991 (1996).

[21] J. F. Liu and K. T. Chao, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 4133 (1997).

[22] Y. S. Dai and D. S. Du, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **9**, 557 (1999).

[23] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 034019 (2010).

[24] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 034032 (2010).

[25] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 094020 (2003).

[26] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **32**, 29 (2003).

[27] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 014027 (2003).

[28] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and P. Santorelli, *Phys. Rev. D*, **63**, 074010 (2001).

[29] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and P. Santorelli, *Phys. Rev. D*, **73**, 054024 (2006).

[30] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and P. Santorelli, *Phys. Rev. D*, **71**, 094006, (2005), **75**, 019901, (2007).

[31] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and O. N. Pakhomova, *Phys. Lett. B* **555**, 189 (2003)

[32] X. X. Wang, W. Wang and C. D. Lu, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 114018 (2009).

[33] W. Wang, Y. L. Shen and C. D. Lu, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 054012 (2009).

[34] X. Liu and Z. J. Xiao, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 074017 (2010).

[35] Z. H. Wang, G. L. Wang and C. H. Chang, *J. Phys. G* **39**, 015009(2012).

[36] Z. H. Wang, G. L. Wang, H. F. Fu and Y. Jiang, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27**, 1250049(2012).

[37] H. F. fu, Y. Jiang, C. S. Kim and G. L. Wang, *JHEP* **1106**, 015(2011).

[38] W. L. Ju, G. L. Wang, H. F. Fu, T. H. Wang and Y, Jiang, *JHEP* **1404**, 065(2014).

[39] W. L. Ju, G. L. Wang, H. F. Fu, Z. H. Wang and Y. Li, *JHEP* **1509**, 171(2015).

[40] W. L. Ju, T. H. Wang, Y. Jiang, H. Yuan and G. L. Wang, *J. Phys. G* **43**, 045004(2016).

[41] C. H. Chang, H. F. Fu, G. L. Wang and J. M. Zhang, *Sci. Chin. Phys. Mech. Astron.* **58**, 071001(2015).

[42] N. Brambilla *etal.*, CERN Yellow Report, CERN-2005-005, and references therein.

[43] N. Brambilla *etal.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1534 (2011).

[44] P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. C* **56**, 3369 (1997).

[45] P. Maris, C. D. Roberts and P. C. Tandy, *Phys. Lett. B* **420**, 267 (1998).

[46] M. A. Ivanov, Yu. L. Kalinovsky, P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. C* **57** 1991(1998).

[47] B. El-Bennich, M. A. Ivanov and C. D. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. C* **83** 025205 (2011).

[48] C. H. Chang, J. K. Chen and G. L. Wang, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 467(2006).

[49] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 1125(1996).

[50] A. Ali, J. Chay. C. Greub and P.ko, *Phys. Lett. B* **424**, 161(1998).

[51] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, *Z. Phys. C* **34**, 103(1987).

[52] G. Cvetic, C. S. Kim, G. L. Wang and Wuk Namgung, *Phys. Lett. B*, **596**, 84(2004).

[53] G.L. Wang, *Phys. Lett. B*, **633**, 492(2006).

[54] S. Mandelstam, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **233**, 248(1955).

[55] C. S. Kim, G. L. Wang, *Phys. Lett. B* **584**, 285(2004).

[56] C. H. Chang and G. L. Wang, *Science in China Series G* **53**, 2005(2010).

[57] P. Ball and R. Zwicky, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 014029 (2005).

[58] E.E. Salpeter and H.A. Bethe, *Phys. Rev.* **84**, 1232(1951).

[59] C. F. Qiao, H. W. Huang and K. T Zhao, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 2273 (1996).

[60] C. F. Qiao, H. W. Huang and K. T Zhao, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 094004 (1999).

[61] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 034014 (2000).

[62] E.E. Salpeter, *Phys. Rev.* **87**, 328(1952).